

9

July 8, 1992

Eve Vogel 2605 SE 34th Portland, OR 97202

Re: 100-FR-1 and 100-FR-3 Operable Unit Work Plans

Dear Ms. Vogel:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency received your comment dated June 14, 1992 on the Remedial Investigation/ Feasibility Study Work Plans (RI/FS) for the 100-FR-1 and 100-FR-3 Operable Units on June 17, 1992.

We greatly appreciate the fact that you have expressed an interest in the Hanford cleanup. Public involvement is an integral part of the environmental restoration program at the Hanford facility.

The goal of these investigations is to select remedies that are protective of human health and the environment, that maintain protection over time, and that minimize untreated waste. Some of the available remedial technologies will require storage of low level nuclear wastes. Storage of low level waste on the Hanford Site within the 200 Areas is a viable alternative. However you are not alone in your concern over the need for a permanent storage facility for high level and transuranic nuclear waste.

Future documents for the 100 Area Operable Units, that will be available for public comment, will cover the selection of remedial alternatives (FS Report) and the proposed remedial action plans. Additional work plans for the remaining operable units will also be forthcoming. Your participation is encouraged throughout this process.

If you would like additional information or have any questions, please feel free to call me at (509) 376-4919.

Sincerely,

Pamela S. Innis

Pameia S. Innis Operable Unit Manager

cc: Steve Wisness, DOE
Eric Goller, DOE
Dave Jensen, Ecology
Dave Nylander/Darci Teel, Ecology
Tim Veneziano, WHC
Administrative Record - 100-FR-1, 100-FR-3



## CORRESPONDENCE DISTRIBUTION COVERSHEET

Author

100

ىڭ (ت

(I)

Addressee

Correspondence No.

P. S. Innis, EPA

E. Vogel

Incoming 9203150

Subject: 100-FR-1 AND 100-FR-3 OPERABLE UNIT WORK PLANS

Approval	Date	Name	Location	w/att
		Correspondence Control	A3-01	
		M. R. Adams	H4-55	
		L. D. Arnold	B2-35	
		G. D. Carpenter	B2-16	
		R. E. Day	H4-55	
		C. K. DiSibio	B3-03	
		W. E. Green	H4-55	
		R. P. Henckel	H4~55	
		A. D. Krug	H4-55	
		R. E. Lerch, Assignee	B2-35	
		P. J. Mackey	B3-15	
		H. E. McGuire, Level I	B3-63	
		J. W. Roberts	H4-55	
		T. B. Veneziano	B2-35	28.
		T. M. Wintczak	L4-92	

R. D. Wojtasek

**EDMC** 

L4-92

H4-22

Dear Mr. Day
I am Writing to comment on the
Remedial Investigation Feasibility Study Work
Plans for the 100 FR-1 and 100 FR-3 Operable
Units at the Hanford site.

I believe it is contical to study effects on
groundwater.

I believe that the clancerous
effects of thanford and other nuclear reactors
will never be overcome. I justificent 3 days
at a conference learning about the government's
efforts to pay up to \$10,000,000 per site to
find places to stoke nuclear waste temporarily—
since we have no permanent storage sites! It
is folly to continue to produce material we have
no place to put.

Hanford Should be closed. Thankyou.

Hanford Should be closed. Thankyou.

<u>س</u>

w.( )

Z\*\* \$

8 8 8